# 2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: Government-Industry Advisory Panel

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 05:46:02 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Defense 2018

3. Committee or Subcommittee 3b. GSA Committee No.

Government-Industry Advisory Panel 2561

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current 6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term

Year? Charter Date Date

No 03/06/2018 03/06/2020 03/04/2019

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual Term

FiscalYear? Authority Date

No 03/04/2019

9. Agency Recommendation for Next10a. Legislation Req to 10b. Legislation

FiscalYear Terminate? Pending?

Continue No Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Agency Authority

13. 14.

12. Specific Establishment Authority Effective Committee\_

72. Specific Establishment Authority Effective Committee Presidential?

Date Type

Section 813(b)(1) of the National Defense

Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016 (Public Law 11/26/2015Continuing No

114-92)

15. Description of Committee National Policy Issue Advisory Board

**16a. Total Number of** No Reports for this

**Reports** FiscalYear

17a. Open 6 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 6 Meetings and Dates

Purpose Start End

This meeting is being held under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA) (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended), and 41 CFR 102–3.150. The Government Industry Advisory Panel will review sections 2320 and 2321 of title 10, United States Code (U.S.C.), regarding rights in technical data and the validation of proprietary data restrictions and the regulations implementing such sections, for the purpose of ensuring that such statutory and regulatory requirements are best structured to serve the interest of the taxpayers and the national defense. The scope of the panel is as follows: (1) Ensuring that the Department of Defense (DoD) does not pay more than once for the same work, (2) Ensuring that the DoD contractors are appropriately rewarded for their innovation and invention, (3) Providing for cost-effective reprocurement, sustainment, modification, and upgrades to the DoD systems, (4) Encouraging the private sector to invest in new products, technologies, and processes relevant to the missions of the DoD, and (5) Ensuring that the DoD has appropriate access to innovative products, technologies, and processes developed by the private sector for commercial use.

10/25/2017 - 10/26/2017

This meeting is being held under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA) (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended), and 41 CFR 102–3.150. The Government Industry Advisory Panel will review sections 2320 and 2321 of title 10, United States Code (U.S.C.), regarding rights in technical data and the validation of proprietary data restrictions and the regulations implementing such sections, for the purpose of ensuring that such statutory and regulatory requirements are best structured to serve the interest of the taxpayers and the national defense. The scope of the panel is as follows: (1) Ensuring that the Department of Defense (DoD) does not pay more than once for the same work, (2) Ensuring that the DoD contractors are appropriately rewarded for their innovation and invention, (3) Providing for cost-effective reprocurement, sustainment, modification, and upgrades to the DoD systems, (4) Encouraging the private sector to invest in new products, technologies, and processes relevant to the missions of the DoD, and (5) Ensuring that the DoD has appropriate access to innovative products, technologies, and processes developed by the private sector for commercial use.

11/15/2017 - 11/16/2017

This meeting is being held under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA) (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended), and 41 CFR 102–3.150. The Government Industry Advisory Panel will review sections 2320 and 2321 of title 10, United States Code (U.S.C.), regarding rights in technical data and the validation of proprietary data restrictions and the regulations implementing such sections, for the purpose of ensuring that such statutory and regulatory requirements are best structured to serve the interest of the taxpayers and the national defense. The scope of the panel is as follows: (1) Ensuring that the Department of Defense (DoD) does not pay more than once for the same work, (2) Ensuring that the DoD contractors are appropriately rewarded for their innovation and invention, (3) Providing for cost-effective reprocurement, sustainment, modification, and upgrades to the DoD systems, (4) Encouraging the private sector to invest in new products, technologies, and processes relevant to the missions of the DoD, and (5) Ensuring that the DoD has appropriate access to innovative products, technologies, and processes developed by the private sector for commercial use.

12/06/2017 - 12/07/2017

This meeting is being held under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA) (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended), and 41 CFR 102–3.150. The Government Industry Advisory Panel will review sections 2320 and 2321 of title 10, United States Code (U.S.C.), regarding rights in technical data and the validation of proprietary data restrictions and the regulations implementing such sections, for the purpose of ensuring that such statutory and regulatory requirements are best structured to serve the interest of the taxpayers and the national defense. The scope of the panel is as follows: (1) Ensuring that the Department of Defense (DoD) does not pay more than once for the same work, (2) Ensuring that the DoD contractors are appropriately rewarded for their innovation and invention, (3) Providing for cost-effective reprocurement, sustainment, modification, and upgrades to the DoD systems, (4) Encouraging the private sector to invest in new products, technologies, and processes relevant to the missions of the DoD, and (5) Ensuring that the DoD has appropriate access to innovative products, technologies, and processes developed by the private sector for commercial use.

01/10/2018 - 01/11/2018

Meeting #26. This meeting is being held under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA) (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended), and 41 CFR 102–3.150. The Government Industry Advisory Panel will review sections 2320 and 2321 of title 10, United States Code (U.S.C.), regarding rights in technical data and the validation of proprietary data restrictions and the regulations implementing such sections, for the purpose of ensuring that such statutory and regulatory requirements are best structured to serve the interest of the taxpayers and the national defense. The scope of the panel is as follows: (1) Ensuring that the Department of Defense (DoD) does not pay more than once for the same work, (2) Ensuring that the DoD contractors are appropriately rewarded for their innovation and invention, (3) Providing for cost-effective reprocurement, sustainment, modification, and upgrades to the DoD systems, (4) Encouraging the private sector to invest in new products, technologies, and processes relevant to the missions of the DoD, and (5) Ensuring that the DoD has appropriate access to innovative products, technologies, and processes developed by the private sector for commercial use.

02/14/2018 - 02/15/2018

Meeting #27: This meeting is being held under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA) (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended), and 41 CFR 102–3.150. The Government Industry Advisory Panel will review sections 2320 and 2321 of title 10, United States Code (U.S.C.), regarding rights in technical data and the validation of proprietary data restrictions and the regulations implementing such sections, for the purpose of ensuring that such statutory and regulatory requirements are best structured to serve the interest of the taxpayers and the national defense. The scope of the panel is as follows: (1) Ensuring that the Department of Defense (DoD) does not pay more than once for the same work, (2) Ensuring that the DoD contractors are appropriately rewarded for their innovation and invention, (3) Providing for cost-effective procurement, sustainment, modification, and upgrades to the DoD systems, (4) Encouraging the private sector to invest in new products, technologies, and processes relevant to the missions of the DoD, and (5) Ensuring that the DoD has appropriate access to innovative products, technologies, and processes developed by the private sector for commercial use.

04/20/2018 - 04/20/2018

## Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 6

	<b>Current FY</b>	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$145,000.00	\$3,000.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$12,000.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$157,000.00	\$3,000.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	1.00	0.02

#### 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Panel, pursuant to section 813(b)(1) of the FY 2016 NDAA, shall provide to the Secretary of Defense and the Deputy Secretary of Defense, through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics (USD(AT&L)), a review of 10 U.S.C. §§ 2320 and 2321, regarding rights in technical data and the validation of proprietary data restrictions and the regulations implementing such sections, for the purpose of ensuring that such statutory and regulatory requirements are best structured to serve the interests of the taxpayers and the national defense.

### 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Panel shall be composed of no more than 20 members. Pursuant to section 813(b)(2) of the FY 2016 NDAA, Panel members selected from the Federal government will be knowledgeable about technical data issues and appropriately represent the three military departments, as well as the legal, acquisition, logistics, and research and development communities in the Department of Defense; and Panel members selected from the private sector will include independent experts and individuals appropriately representative of the diversity of interested parties, including large and small businesses, traditional and

nontraditional government contractors, prime contractors and subcontractors, suppliers of hardware and software, and institutions of higher education.

## 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Committee will meet at a minimum of once per year.

# 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Secretary of Defense, pursuant to section 813(b)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016 (FY 2016 NDAA) (Public Law 114-92) and in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) of 1972 (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended) and 41 C.F.R. § 102-3.50(a), established this non-discretionary Panel.

## 20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

Meetings are not closed to the public unless the Department of Defense determines that items on the planned agenda meet the closed meeting provisions of 5 U.S.C.§552b(c). Pursuant to DoD Policy, closed meetings can only be authorized by the DoD Sponsor, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, and in consultation with the Office of General Counsel for the Department of Defense.

#### 21. Remarks

# **Designated Federal Officer**

#### David J. Wollman DFO

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Alexander, Kelly	04/08/2016	6 04/08/2018	Common Operating Environment Director, ASA(ALT), US Army	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Branch, Elliott	04/08/2016	04/08/2018	DASN (AP), US Navy	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Brown, Allison	06/07/2016	06/07/2018	CEO, NAVSYS Corporation	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Dee, Thomas	04/08/2016	04/08/2018	DASN ELM, US Navy	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Elkington, Bill	06/07/2016	6 06/07/2018	Senior IP Manager, Rockwell Collins	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Ginman, Richard	06/07/2016	6 06/07/2018	Independent Consultant	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Gordon, Joseph	04/08/2016	6 04/08/2018	Chief, SAF/AQR S&T Management Division, US Air Force	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Gray, Richard	04/08/2016	6 04/08/2018	OGC A&L, Intellectual Property, Office of Secretary of Defense	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Hamerlinck, Roger	04/08/2016	04/08/2018	Office of Acquisition Policy, ASA(ALT), US Army	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member

Hancock, Theodora	04/08/2016 04/08/2018	3 Senior Procurement Specialist, US Air Force	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Harris, Charles	04/08/2016 04/08/2018	3 ADGC (IP), Army General Counsel, US Army	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Kyes, Kelly	06/07/2016 06/07/2018	3 Senior IP Attorney, Boeing	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
McEwen, James	06/07/2016 06/07/2018	3 Senior IP Attorney, LM-Sikorsky	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
O'Brien, Sean	06/07/2016 06/07/2018	3 Senior IP Attorney, UTC Aerospace Systems	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Rapp, Carl	07/11/2016 07/11/2018	President Philadelphia Gear and VP Timken Powers  Systems Group	er Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

**Number of Committee Members Listed: 15** 

## **Narrative Description**

Purpose: Reviewing sections 2320 and 2321 of title 10, United States Code, regarding rights in technical data and the validation of proprietary data restrictions and the regulations implementing such sections, for the purpose of ensuring that such statutory and regulatory requirements are best structured to serve the interests of the taxpayers and the national defense. Scope of the Review: (A) Ensuring DoD does not pay more than once for the same work. (B) Ensuring DoD contractors are appropriately rewarded for their innovation and invention. (C) Providing for cost-effective reprocurement, sustainment, modification, and upgrades to DoD systems. (D) Encouraging the private sector to invest in new products, technologies, and processes relevant to the missions of DoD. (E) Ensuring that the DoD has appropriate access to innovative products, technologies, and processes developed by the private sector for commercial use.

# What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

	Chookod ii 7 ippiioc
mprovements to health or safety	
Trust in government	✓
Major policy changes	✓
Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	
mproved service delivery	✓
ncreased customer satisfaction	✓
mplementation of laws or regulatory requirements	✓
Other	

#### **Outcome Comments**

# What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments	
Cost savings are difficult to determine based on the broad purpose and s	scope of the panel
effecting law and regulation.	
What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by for the life of the committee?	this committee
Number of Recommendations Comments	
The Panel has not finalized its recommendations at this time.	
What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that will be Fully implemented by the agency? $0\%$	t have been or
% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments Not Applicable	
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?	t have been or

% of Recommendations  $\underline{\text{Partially}}$  Implemented Comments

Not Applicable

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback	regarding actions taken to
implement recommendations or advice offered?	
Yes No Not Applicable	
Agency Feedback Comments	
Agency will provide feedback on panel's report NLT 60 days	s after submission of the report
when it is due to Congress.	
What other actions has the agency taken as a result of	the committee's advice or
recommendation?	
	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	
Action Comments	
No actions recommended yet, so difficult to determine if our	tcomes will result in final
changes to laws or regulations.	
Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications	for grants?
No	
Grant Review Comments	
Not Applicable	
How is access provided to the information for the Com	mittee's documentation?
	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	<b>✓</b>
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<b>√</b>
Publications	
Other	

# **Access Comments**

Not Applicable